



# Transportation Advisory Board

Date: September 15, 2022

7:30 – 9:30 pm

**Meeting called by:**

David Pcolar, Chair

Facilitator: Kent Johnson

**Attendees:**

Carrboro Transportation Advisory Board Members

### ----- Agenda Topics -----

1. Welcome and Call to Order	Pcolar	7:30
2. Approval of minutes (June 16, 2022)	TAB	7:40
3. Discussion Items:	TAB	7:45
• Safe Routes to School Plan, Implementation Committee & Upcoming Activities	TAB	8:45
• Review of Jones Ferry Road Protected Bike Lanes	TAB	9:00
• Update on Transportation Projects	TAB	
4. Adjourn	Chair	9:30

### Additional Attachments

### Other Information

Safe Routes to School Plan (<http://www.townofcarrboro.org/741/Safe-Routes-to-School>)

**Next meeting: October 6, 2022**

**TOWN HALL IS ACCESSIBLE FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES.**

FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT TINA MOON AT 919-918-7325.

**TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY BOARD  
MINUTES**

**Thursday, June 16, 2022**

**BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT**

Dave Pcolar, Chair  
Elyse Keefe  
David Swan  
Lenore Jones-Peretto  
Barbara Foushee, Council Liaison

**STAFF PRESENT**

Trish McGuire

**GUESTS PRESENT**

Sophie Suberman

**I. Joint Advisory Board Meeting**

Transportation Advisory Board members attended the joint advisory board meeting from 7:30 pm to approximately 9:00 pm and received presentations on the two development proposals.

**II. Call to order**

The meeting was called to order around 9:00 pm.

**III. Approval of Minutes (June 2, 2022)**

Motion was made by Keefe to approve the June 2, 2022 meeting as amended, and seconded by Swan. Motion passes unanimously (Keefe, Jones-Peretto, Swan, Pcolar).

**IV. Action and Discussion Items**

- Concept Plan for Matthews Family Building, NC 54

Transportation Advisory Board members request more details about the grading and slopes on the proposed driveway apron and area adjacent to the apron. The TAB also requests verification that the ingress/egress point to NC 54 is acceptably designed to account for the nearby driveway (across the street) and the topography/slope of NC 54.

- Concept Plan for 901 West Main Street, Present Day on Main

Transportation Advisory Board members spoke with Sophie Suberman about a variety of topics related to the 901 W. Main St. Concept Plan. TAB members provided the following comments and recommendations:

1. Parking lot layout
  - a. Improve and clarify the circulation space for pedestrians arriving to the site on-foot, and for pedestrians walking through the parking lot after exiting parked vehicles. In particular, look for ways to separate pedestrians from motor vehicle travel paths.

- b. Provide more detail and consideration of the parking spaces and routes provided for people with disabilities to maximize accessibility and compliance with ADA requirements.
  - c. Further consider accommodations for bicycle access and parking that support the needs of both patrons and employees (for example, covered bike parking such as bike lockers could support the longer-term bicycle parking needs of employees).
  - d. Evaluate other possible parking lot configurations that would improve circulation and ingress/egress of the site.
2. Split rail fence
    - a. Additional information is needed to determine the extent to which the fence obstructs motorists' views.
    - b. Additional detail is needed regarding the fence gate designs and opening locations to verify suitability for bicyclists, pedestrians, and motorists.
  3. Pedestrian access
    - a. Create specific access points and circulation paths for pedestrians arriving from the W. Main St. sidewalk, and for pedestrians arriving from the Westview Drive neighborhood – the TAB expressed a concern with pedestrians and motorists sharing the same circulation space.

- Review of Parking Study

Overall, TAB members are generally supportive of the Parking Study recommendations, and are eager to see this topic advance beyond studying and into implementation. Below is a list of specific comments and recommendations:

1. Parking considerations should include the broader relationship of various transportation system elements to provide a better context – for example, transit seemed to be conspicuously absent as did possible Transportation Demand Management (TDM) programs, and opportunities for park-n-ride lots.
2. Since most (81%) of the parking inventory is on private property, additional detail is needed on the extent employers are allowing/encouraging employees to park in their lots, and the study should look more closely at the possibility for public/private partnerships.
3. More details about a paid parking fee structure are needed, including a description of the possible pros and cons of various scenarios.

- Update on Transportation Projects provided by staff

## V. Adjourn

Jones-Peretto moved to adjourn the meeting; Swan seconded the motion. The meeting was adjourned around 11:15 pm.